COMS E6998-9: Algorithms for Massive Data (Fall'23)

Oct. 2, 2023

Lecture 8: Compressed Sensing

Instructor: Alex Andoni

Scribe: Krish Singal

1 Numerical Linear Algebra

1.1 Closing Remarks

Numerical linear algebra is concerned with designing faster algorithms for

- (i) Least Square Regression: $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax b||_2$. Last lecture, we used a matrix S to be an Oblivious Space Embedding (OSE) to get an approximation $\approx \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||S(Ax b)||_2$. Methods seen from last lecture can be extended to achieve run-time $O(\operatorname{nnz}(A) + (\frac{d}{\epsilon})^{O(1)})$ where $\operatorname{nnz}(A)$ denotes the number of non-zero entries in A. In some cases, dimension reduction matrix S can be chosen not to be OSE, but rather a specific construction dependent on A. This can achieve run-time $O(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot (\operatorname{nnz}(A) + d^{O(1)}))$.
- (ii) Regression under different norms: $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax b||_l$ for $l \neq 2$. The 1-norm corresponds to the lasso-regression which promotes sparsity. An analogous construction of an OSE S for ℓ_1 with approximation factor $\alpha = d^{O(1)}$ can be used to achieve run-time $O(\frac{1}{z^{O(1)}} \cdot (\operatorname{nnz}(A) + d^{O(1)}))$.
- (iii) Rank-k Approximation or Matrix multiplication approximation: This problem can be approached similarly by applying a dimension reduction and solving the problem in lower dimensions. In some cases, one may consider applying a dimension reduction $S \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ to $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ from both directions (i.e. SAS^T).

2 Compressed Sensing

2.1 Problem Introduction

Compressed sensing is a problem originating from digital signal processing. Given a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we design a "sensing matrix" $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ to make *m* linear measurements on *x*. In particular, y = Ax and our goal is to recover *x* from *y*. We generally assume $m \ll n$, so *A* is not necessarily invertible. Therefore, *x* cannot be fully recovered, so we make the basic assumption that *x* is *k*-sparse in some basis. Suppose that *x* is *k*-sparse in some basis apart from the standard basis. Then, $x = \varphi \cdot z$ where *z* is the *k*-sparse representation of *x* in the new basis and φ is the change of basis linear transformation. It follows that

$$y = A \cdot \varphi \cdot z = A' \cdot z$$

where $A' = A \cdot \varphi$ is our new sensing matrix acting on k-sparse vector z.

There is a natural trade-off between the number of measurements made, m, and how well we can recover x. Note that the constants in our setting of m are an important and active area of research.

2.2 Formalization

In more precise terms, we assume the original signal x is *well-approximated* by a k-sparse vector. This motivates the following problem

$$L_0(y) = \underset{\substack{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ Ax^* = y}}{\arg\min} \|x^*\|_0$$

Where A is designed such that $L_0(y)$ approximately recovers x. For a fixed A, computing $L_0(y)$ is known to be NP-Hard. Work done in [CT05] motivates a relaxation of this problem to the following ℓ_1 minimization problem

$$L_1(y) = \underset{\substack{x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ Ax^* = y}}{\arg\min} \|x^*\|_1$$

Observe that $L_1(y)$ is a linear programming problem that can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \\ \text{Subject to} & Ax^* = y \\ & -l_i \leq x_i^* \leq l_i \quad \forall i \in [n] \end{array}$$

which can be solved in polynomial time. Because x is *well-approximated* by a k-sparse vector, we would ideally like to find

$$x^* = \underset{\substack{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \|x'\|_0 \le k}}{\arg\min} \|x - x'\|_1$$

Here x^* is the vector that keeps the largest k coordinates of x and zeroes out the others. Because we only have linear measurements of x, we resort to a more modest approximation via error

$$\operatorname{Err}_{1}^{k}(x) := \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \|x\|_{0} \le k}} \|x - x'\|_{1}$$

We will show that $x^* = L_1(y)$ satisfies

$$\|x - x^*\|_1 \le c \cdot \operatorname{Err}_1^k(x) \tag{1}$$

where we typically take $c = 1 + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, but sometimes c can also be a concrete constant.

Theorem 1. If A is i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with $m = O(k \log(\frac{n}{k}))$ then Eq.(1) holds for c = O(1) with 90% probability.

We can achieve $c = 1 + \epsilon$ for m a function of ϵ . Furthermore, $x^* = L_1(y)$ is not necessarily k-sparse. However, it is the case that if x is k-sparse, then $x^* = x$. A priori, this last point is not immediately clear in the setting of the ℓ_1 relaxation. At a high level, this is a result of careful choice of A. We will see in the following lectures that for A an RIP matrix, theorem 1 is true with probability 1. Then, it suffices to show that a random Gaussian matrix is RIP with high probability.

But why is it okay to relax $L_0(y)$ to $L_1(y)$? $L_1(y)$ is the "closest" convex relaxation of $L_0(y)$. Consider the following example, with n = 2, m = 1, k = 1



Here, the black line corresponds to $Ax^* = y$. The red points are the solution set of $L_0(y)$. The blue points correspond to those vectors x for which $||x||_1 = \epsilon$ for increasing values of ϵ . We note that the solution the $L_1(y)$ problem lies at the corner of ℓ_1 ball in this case. The following diagram illustrates the idea of "convex relaxation".



Here, the gray points represent those x with $||x||_0 = 1$ and $||x||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ and the blue represent the x with $||x||_1 \leq \epsilon$. Notice that the blue set is the smallest convex body containing the gray.